Academy of Finland Application evaluation form
2019 Academy Professor

Panel/Name of the reviewer: Name of the applicant: Title of the proposed project: Application number:

Academy Professors are internationally leading-edge researchers and recognised experts in their field who are expected to have great scientific impact in the scientific community and in society at large. Academy Professors are expected to significantly advance research in their field and to develop creative research environments. Academy Professors are internationally leading-edge researchers and recognised experts in their field who are expected to have great scientific impact in the scientific community and in society at large. Academy Professors are expected to significantly advance research in their field and to develop creative research environments. The funding for a research post as Academy Professor covers a maximum of five years at a time. Academy Professor may be granted funding to cover research costs during their term.

Please provide both written feedback and numerical ratings to each of the following items. The numerical evaluation of the overall assessment is made with rating scale ranging from 1 (poor) to 6 (outstanding).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 (outstanding)</td>
<td>Demonstrates exceptional novelty and innovation. Potential to substantially advance science at global level. High-gain project that may include risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (excellent)</td>
<td>Extremely good in international comparison – no significant elements to be improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (very good)</td>
<td>In general sound but contains a few elements that could be improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (good)</td>
<td>In general sound but contains important elements that should be improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (fair)</td>
<td>Contains flaws. In need of substantial modification or improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (poor)</td>
<td>Severe flaws that are intrinsic to the proposed project or the application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Research Project

Scientific quality, ground-breaking nature, ambition and potential scientific impact of research project. Feasibility and implementation (incl. ethical aspects) of the plan.

Sub-rating (1-6)

2 Principal Investigator

Scientific productivity, originality and researcher education displayed by the PI, especially during the last 5–10 years. The PI’s status and recognition within the international scientific community.

Sub-rating (1-6)

3 Overall assessment

Please list the main strengths and weaknesses of the application, additional comments and suggestions.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Comments:

4 Overall rating (1–6):
Ranking

Your application was ranked [ordinal number]th of all the [number] [Funding instrument name] applications reviewed in this panel. Only applications with the final rating of 5 or 6 were ranked.