

## Application review form

### 2026 INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION IN HIGH- PERFORMANCE COMPUTING

Spring call 2026

Panel/Name of reviewer:

Application number:

Name of applicant:

Title of proposed project:

#### **How to review applications for 2026 INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION IN HIGH-PERFORMANCE COMPUTING**

The aim of the call is to fund internationally significant research where the use of European High-Performance Computing (EuroHPC) or Large Unified Modern Infrastructure (LUMI) supercomputing resources is necessary for the success of the research project. The themes of the projects have not been confined to any particular thematic area, but the call is open to all projects relevant in terms of the necessary use of EuroHPC or LUMI supercomputing resources in the attainment of the project objectives.

The funding granted under the present call will support international research collaboration focusing on using EuroHPC's high-performance computing resources in cooperation with partners from EuroHPC's European user states (incl. the United Kingdom), or on cooperation in using the LUMI supercomputer with researchers from the following states, organisations or countries: US state of Colorado, US state of Minnesota, US state of Texas, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) of the US federal government, Japan or Canada. The funding is not intended for coordination or planning of collaborations.

The focus of the review should be on scientific quality and implementation of the research plan together with the specific objectives of the call. The funding is applied for to hire a research team, and it may be applied for by individual research teams or consortia composed of two or more research teams.

**Provide both a written review and numerical ratings in section 1 (Project's relevance to call), section 2 (Quality of research) and section 3 (Implementation) and give an overall rating in section 5. Write evaluative comments rather than descriptive ones. Section 4 (Review panel's summary assessment) is written by the panel during the panel meeting.**

**Use a rating scale ranging from 6 (outstanding) to 1 (insufficient).** The consistency between the numerical rating and the written comments is particularly important.



Rating scale	Description
6 (outstanding)	Demonstrates extremely high novelty and/or innovation; has potential to substantially advance science at global level; presents a high-gain plan that may include risks
5 (excellent)	Is very good in international comparison - contains no significant elements to be improved
4 (good)	Is in general sound but contains some elements that should be improved
3 (fair)	Is in general sound but contains important elements that should be improved
2 (poor)	Contains flaws and needs substantial modification or improvement
1 (insufficient)	Contains severe flaws that are intrinsic to the proposed project or the application

## 1 Project's relevance to call

### 1.1 Project's relevance to call

**Subrating (1-6)**

Please review the contribution of the application to achieving the objectives of the call, for instance:

- the extent to which the use of EuroHPC or the LUMI supercomputer is justified and will benefit the project
- the extent to which the project and the international collaboration within the project can contribute to the expansion of computing expertise at both national and international level.
- See [research plan](#).
- [The objectives of the call are described in more detail in the call text](#)

## 2 Quality of research

### 2.1 Scientific quality, novelty and innovativeness of research

**Subrating (1-6)**

Please review:

- scientific quality and significance of project's objectives and hypotheses
- ambitiousness and state of the art of objectives, including possible novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines
- (if applicable) scientific added value of consortium for attainment of research objectives and expansion of computing expertise
- impact of research within academia



## Research Council of Finland

- potential for breakthroughs or exceptionally significant outcomes including possible high-risk, high-gain research
- project's potential to generate new knowledge, new methods, new technology or new practices
  - See **research plan**.
  - A consortium application consists of two or more subprojects each with nominated PIs and separate budgets but a common research plan. The consortium implements a joint research plan with a view to achieving more extensive added value than through normal cooperation.

### 3 Implementation

#### 3.1 Feasibility of research plan, including aspects of responsible science

**Subrating (1-6)**

Please review:

- feasibility of project, taking into account extent to which proposed research may include high risks
- materials, research data and methods
- estimated use of HPC resources
- working arrangements and management of research tasks
- research environment including research infrastructures
- identified potential scientific or methodological problem areas and mitigation plan
- consideration of gender equality and nondiscrimination, research ethics and good scientific practice, open access to research publications and data, data management, and sustainable development
  - See **research plan**.
  - See **brief data management plan** in the application form

#### 3.2 Expertise, human resources and collaboration, including aspects of responsible science

**Subrating (1-6)**

Please review:

- competence and scientific expertise of applicant (and in case of consortium: all applicants) in terms of project implementation
- complementary expertise of team, who are directly working for/funded in the project, including appropriateness and sufficiency for proposed project
- adequacy of human resources for project implementation, with attention to promoting equality and nondiscrimination within project



## Research Council of Finland

- contribution of both national and international research collaborators, who are engaged with their own funding, and impact of their environment on project's potential success
- significance of planned mobility for implementation of research plan and researcher training
  - See **research plan**.
  - See **most relevant publications and other key outputs** in the application form.
  - See **CV(s)** of the applicant(s) in the application form.
  - See **list of publications**.
  - See **mobility plan** in the application form.
  - See **letter(s) of collaboration**.

### 4 Review panel's summary assessment of proposal

#### 4.1 Main strengths and weaknesses of proposal and their justifications; possible other remarks

##### TO BE COMPLETED ONLY AT THE PANEL MEETING

Section 4 of the form is applicable only to the top-tier applications selected for discussion during the review panel meeting.

##### 4.1.1 Main strengths and their justifications

**(no numerical rating)**

- Summary assessment of application's main strengths with justifications
  - Refer to the review criteria in sections 1, 2 and 3.
  - To be completed only at the panel meeting

##### 4.1.2 Main weaknesses and their justifications

**(no numerical rating)**

- Summary assessment of application's main weaknesses with justifications
  - Refer to the review criteria in sections 1, 2 and 3.
  - To be completed only at the panel meeting

##### 4.1.3 Other remarks (if any):

### 5 Overall rating

**Rating (1-6)**

- Please note that the final rating should not be a mathematical average of the subratings. For example, the application should not be penalised if it has a slight weakness in one evaluation item



## Research Council of Finland

that is later strengthened in another item (e.g. lack of some expertise in a local team but compensated through international collaboration).

### **Ranking based on panel discussion (the ranking is made during the panel meeting)**

Your application was ranked [ordinal number] of all [number] [Funding instrument name] applications reviewed in this panel. Only applications with both a final overall rating of 5 or 6 and a rating of 5 or 6 for project's relevance to the call were ranked.

