Please provide written feedback to each of the following items and an overall numerical rating.

The numerical evaluation is made with the rating scale provided in the review instructions for the letters of intent. The written feedback should reflect the grade given using the scales provided in the review instructions.

### 1.1 Significance and expected impact

Why and how does the proposed research match the programme?  
How significant and plausible is the project’s expected contribution to achieving the solutions pursued by the programme?  
How does the research plan promote responsible science (Research ethics, Equality and non-discrimination, Open science, Sustainable development)?

### 1.2 Research choices

Are the research idea and the initial description of research questions, materials and applied methodologies feasible and do they aim at scientific excellence?  
How does the research plan support inter- or transdisciplinary approach, including integration or transformation of disciplinary perspectives, and what added value does the chosen approach bring?  
Is the consortium competent to execute the plan?

### 1.3 Interaction choices

Are the plans for societal interaction (goals, means, stakeholders and implementation) feasible, and do they aim at concrete steps towards improving policy or practice?
An overall grade (1-6) is given for the draft review submitted prior to the evaluation panel. In the panel meeting the application is assigned into a category (A-C) according to how strongly the panel recommends that the application be invited to the second call stage.