



**Application review form
Special funding for RDI partnership
networks**

Name of reviewer:
Name of applicant:
Title of proposed project:

Application number:

Application review form: Special funding for RDI partnership networks

See the call text for the specific objectives of this scheme.

Please provide both written feedback and numerical ratings to each of the following items.

- Blue text with bulleting refers to technical instructions for the online services (SARA).

The numerical evaluation of the sub-items and final rating is made with a rating scale ranging from 6 (outstanding) to 1 (unsatisfactory).

Rating	Description
6 (outstanding)	The action plan includes viable, significant and very concrete measures that clearly support the target and that contribute significantly to the objectives of the call.
5 (excellent)	The action plan includes viable, significant and very concrete measures that support the target and that contribute to the objectives of the call.
4 (good)	The action plan includes viable and concrete measures. The proposed concrete measures should have been more extensive to reach the target and to contribute to the objectives of the call.
3 (modest)	The action plan includes moderate measures. For instance, the measures should have been more extensive or viable.
2 (weak)	The action plan is not viable in its present form.
1 (unsatisfactory)	The action plan is out of scope.

1 Justification for partnership network and objectives

1.1 How plausible are the arguments for the partnership network and its objectives?

Relevance of partnership network to the competence centre/s; indications of scientific excellence; soundness and clarity of objectives

Sub-rating (1–6)

- See item 1 of the action plan.



- See **Collaborators** section in the application form.
- See attached **Letters of commitment** (if applicable).

2 Implementation

2.1. How viable, feasible and plausible is the action plan with regard to the measures, schedule, resources and risk management? Sub-rating (1–6)

- See item **2.1** of the action plan.

2.2 Responsible science (no numerical rating)

The Academy of Finland is committed to promoting research integrity, responsible conduct of research and the principles and practices of equality and non-discrimination and open science, as well as principles of sustainable development. Has the applicant considered these aspects properly in the application? If not, please provide further comments.

- See item **2.2** of the action plan.
- See **Instructions for reviewing** for further information.

3 Impact

3.1 What is the likelihood of the partnership making a significant contribution to impact beyond academia? Sub-rating (1-6)

- See especially item **3** of the action plan.
- See all items and sections of the application.

3.2 How significantly will the partnership network support the strengthening of internationally competitive competence centres and business ecosystems in Finland? Sub-rating (1-6)

- See especially item **3** of the action plan.
- See all items and all sections of the application.

4 Overall assessment and rating

4.1 Overall assessment

4.2 Main strengths and weaknesses of action plan, additional comments and suggestions



- Please give an overall assessment for the application including lists of strengths and weaknesses as well as any additional comments. It is important to comment on both the strengths and the weaknesses of the application.

5 Overall rating	Rating (1–6)
-------------------------	---------------------

- Please note that the final rating should not be a mathematical average of the sub-ratings.

Ranking

Your application was ranked [ordinal number] of all [number] [Funding instrument name] applications reviewed in this call.

