

Achieving carbon neutrality at the regional level: Integrated evaluation of emission and biodiversity targets

Martin Forsius Research professor Finnish Environment Institute Syke martin.forsius@syke.fi



Funded by the European Union -

NextGenerationEU

Sustainable Growth Programme for Finland





FINN

FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Background

- Climate Act: Finland carbon neutral by year 2035 after which greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions should be negative.
- The landuse sector (LULUCF) was for the first time a GHG emission source in 2021 in Finland.
- Growth of forests is decreasing.
- EU biodiversity strategy: 30% of land area should be protected, of which 10 % strictly protected.
- Proposal of Finnish Nature Panel: Implement additional protection of forested areas so that the 10% target is reached in each administrative region (Fi. maakunta).
- \rightarrow Integrated evaluation of targets \rightarrow optimal/win-win solutions.
- \rightarrow Impacts of protection measures on carbon sinks and storages.
- \rightarrow Net GHG budgets for different scenario combinations.

Current greenhouse gas balance in Finland for land cover classes



GHG emission intensity vs. area of landcover classes in different regions



Holmberg et al. 2023 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-023-01910-8

Net GHG emissions in year 2050 in 18 regions of Finland

Two forest harvesting scenarios and WEM scenario for anthropogenic GHG emissions assumed

MaxHarv & WEM 10 0 -10 Emission Sector LowHarv & WEM Anthropogenic Forestry 10 0 -10 -Southwest Finland South Ostrobothnia Central Ostoboltmia North Ostoboltnia Pailathane Satakunta KantarHäme Pitkannaa Kymeniaakso South Katella South Savo NothSavo North Karelia Central Finland Lapland Kainuu Region

b) 2050 Net GHG emission (TgCO₂eq.yr⁻¹)

Person 1 control 2 c

Forsius et al. 2023 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-023-01860-1

Fraction of new protected forested area needed in the 18 regions to reach the regional 10% protection target

(Zonation model prioritisation)



12

Development of carbon storage of Finnish forests assuming different harvesting scenarios

PREBAS model, present climate assumed

5000 NoHarv LowHarv **BaseHarv** MaxHarv 4000 TgC 3000 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050

Potential max. carbon storage

year

Forsius et al. 2023 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-023-01860-1



Kuvat © Otto Saikkonen

CONCLUSIONS

- ✓ Integrated evaluation of climate and biodiversity targets enables development of cost-efficient measures.
- ✓ Detailed spatially explicit information available.
- ✓ Large differences in regional potential to reach carbon neutrality → planning and cooperation needed.
- ✓ Present and potential new protected forested areas are important carbon storages and sinks.

More information/special issue:

https://link.springer.com/journal/13280/volumes-and-issues/52-11